UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,571	07/13/2007	Glenn D. Prestwich	67934-8006.US00	6987
79975 King & Spaldin	7590 12/17/201 g LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 889		GOON, SCARLETT Y		
Belmont, CA 94002-0889			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/17/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/581,571	PRESTWICH ET AL.
Examiner-initiated interview duminary	Examiner	Art Unit
	SCARLETT GOON	1623
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>SCARLETT GOON</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Ms. Susan T. Evans</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 15 December 2010	Time: <u>1:40 pm</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: see below		
Prior art documents discussed: see below		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	BDISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan 	e examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/SCARLETT GOON/ Examiner, Art Unit 1623	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Ms. Evans was contacted to discuss allowable subject matter. The Examiner noted that the amendment filed 22 November 2010 cancelled all claims rejected over prior art and only maintained the claims that were indicated as containing allowable subject matter. However, the Examiner indicated to Ms. Evans that upon final review of the application for allowance, it was noted that the applied prior art was still applicable to the pending claims when the GAG was carboxymethyl chitosan. The Examiner proposed Applicants amend independent claim 224 to recite the specific GAGs as recited in claim 2. Ms. Evans noted that claim 2 did not recite hyaluronan as a GAG. The Examiner indicated it would be okay to also include hyaluronan into the independent claim, or a broader sub-genus that may be discussed in the instant Specification, so long as it did not encompass carboxymethyl chitosan. Ms. Evans indicated she would discuss the amendments with Applicants.